

Note

The Number of Small Semispaces of a Finite Set of Points in the Plane

NOGA ALON*

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

AND

E. GYÖRI

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian
Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary*

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received May 22, 1984

For a configuration S of n points in the plane, let $g_k(S)$ denote the number of subsets of cardinality $\leq k$ cut off by a line. Let $g_{k,n} = \max\{g_k(S) : |S| = n\}$. Goodman and Pollack (*J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 36 (1984), 101-104) showed that if $k < n/2$ then $g_{k,n} \leq 2nk - 2k^2 - k$. Here we show that $g_{k,n} = k \cdot n$ for $k < n/2$. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

Let S be a finite set of points in the plane. Following Goodman and Pollack [GP2] we call the intersection of S with a half plane a *semispace* of S . A semispace of S of cardinality k is called a *k-set* of S . Let $f_k(S)$ denote the number of k -sets of S and put $g_k(S) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(S)$.

Define

$$g_{k,n} = \max\{g_k(S) : |S| = n\}.$$

Thus $g_{k,n}$ is the maximal number of ($\leq k$)-sets of n points in the plane. Since $g_{k,n} = g_{n-k,n}$ we may restrict our attention to the case $k \leq n/2$.

Goodman and Pollack [GP2] considered the problem of estimating $g_{k,n}$ and proved that if $k < n/2$ then $g_{k,n} \leq 2nk - 2k^2 - k$.

* Research supported in part by the Weizmann Fellowship for Scientific Research.

In this note we determine $g_{k,n}$ precisely for all $k < n/2$, by proving:

THEOREM 1. For $k < n/2$, $g_{k,n} = k \cdot n$

We have two proofs of Theorem 1; a combinatorial one and a geometric one. Since the first proof is more general we present it in detail and only sketch the second. Our combinatorial proof is based on the ideas of [GP2].

The n vertices of any convex polygon in the plane show that $g_{k,n}$ is at least the quantity mentioned in the theorem. To prove the upper bound we first note that we may assume that the points of S form a simple configuration, i.e., no three points of S are collinear and no two connecting lines (i.e., lines determined by two points of S) are parallel. This follows from the fact that a small perturbation of S will not decrease $g_k(S)$.

Following [GP2] we consider a more general combinatorial problem. We associate with S a sequence of permutations on the n points of S as follows. Choose a directed line L , which is not orthogonal to any connecting line of S , and project the points of S orthogonally onto L . Let P_0 denote the order of these projections on L . Now rotate L counterclockwise. Whenever L passes a direction orthogonal to a connecting line determined by the points $a, b \in S$ the order of the projected points on L is changed by the adjacent transposition (a, b) .

After 180° the points fall on L in the reverse order. In this way (after 360°) we obtain a cyclic sequence of permutations $P(S) = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{2N} = P_0$, where $N = \binom{n}{2}$ and

- (1) P_i and P_{i+N} are in reverse order (from here on addition of indices is taken modulo $2N$);
- (2) P_{k+1} differs from P_k by an adjacent transposition (=switch).

Note that a k -set of S occurs as an initial k -segment of some P_i (and hence as a terminal k -segment of P_{i+N}). As a matter of fact $f_k(S)$ is precisely the number of switches in position k in P , i.e., the number of switches between the k th and the $(k+1)$ st indices, since each such switch creates exactly one new k -set. This number equals, of course, the number of switches in position $n-k$ in P .

Call a sequence of permutations P satisfying (1) and (2) an n -sequence. (Note that in [GP2] an n -sequence is half of our n -sequence.) For $k \leq n/2$ let $F_k(P)$ denote the number of switches in position k in P , put $G_k(P) = \sum_{i=1}^k F_k(P)$ and define

$$G_{k,n} = \max \{ G_k(P) : P \text{ is an } n\text{-sequence} \}.$$

Our result clearly follows from the following.

Claim 2. For $k < n/2$, $G_{k,n} \leq n \cdot k$.

Note that since $n \cdot k \leq g_{k,n} \leq G_{k,n}$ for $k < n/2$, the last claim implies;

THEOREM 3. For $k < n/2$, $g_{k,n} = G_{k,n} = k \cdot n$.

As shown above every simple configuration is associated with an n -sequence. The converse, however, is not true (see [GP1]). Hence Theorem 3 is more general than Theorem 1.

Proof of Claim 2. Let b be a fixed point. The total number of switches involving b is precisely $2n - 2$ (twice with any other point). If b occurs in a switch in position $i \in (1, 2, \dots, k)$ it also occurs in a switch in position $n - i$. If $i < j < n - i$ then, by continuity, b occurs in at least two switches in position j (one somewhere between the switch in position i and this in position $n - i$ and one somewhere between the switch in position $n - i$ and this in position i). Thus, any point occurs in at most $2n - 2 - 2(n - 2k - 1) = 4k$ switches in positions $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \cup \{n - k, \dots, n - 1\}$. The total number of switches in these positions is half of the sum of occurrences of points in such switches, i.e., $\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot n \cdot 4k = 2nk$. The total number of switches in the first k positions is precisely half of this quantity, i.e., $\leq n \cdot k$. This completes the proof of Claim 2 and hence of Theorems 1 and 3. ■

Remarks. 1. Let S be a set of n points in general position in the plane and suppose $k < n/2$. For $a, b \in S$ let $l = l(a, b)$ be the directed line from a to b and let $N^+(l)$ denote the number of points of S in its positive side. Erdős, Lovász, Simmons and Straus [ELSS] denoted by G_k the directed graph on the set of vertices S whose edges are all segments ab , where $a, b \in S$ and $N^+(l(a, b)) = k$. One can easily check that the number of k -sets of S is precisely the number of edges of G_{k-1} (= number of edges of G_{n-k-1}). It is also easy to see (analogously to the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [ELSS]) that if $a \in S$ is incident with an edge of G_i and $i < j < n - 2 - i$ then a is also incident with at least two edges of G_j . Thus the total number of edges incident with a in $G_0 \cup G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{k-1} \cup G_{n-k-1} \cup \dots \cup G_{n-2}$ is at most $2n - 2 - 2(n - 2k - 1) = 4k$. Therefore the total number of edges of $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{k-1}$ is $\leq n \cdot k$. This yields another proof of Theorem 1 (but not of the more general Theorem 3).

2. The problem of determining or estimating $f_{k,n} = \max\{f_k(S) : S \text{ is a configuration of } n \text{ points in the plane}\}$ is much more difficult than the corresponding one for $g_{k,n}$. However, as is easily checked, $2 \cdot g_{n/2,n} = n(n-1) + f_{n/2,n}$ (for even n), i.e., the two problems are equivalent (and seem to be difficult) for $k = n/2$ (see [ELSS, Lo]).

By the results of Stanley [St], Lascoux and Schützenberger [LS] and Edelman and Greene [EG] there is a surprising one to one correspon-

dence between n -sequences and Standard Young Tableaux of shape $(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1)$ which might help in tackling this problem.

REFERENCES

- [EG] P. EDELMAN AND C. GREENE, Combinatorial correspondences for Young tableaux, balanced tableaux and maximal chains in the Bruhat order of S_n , in *Combinatorics and Algebra, Contemporary Math.*, Vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., 1984.
- [ELSS] P. ERDŐS, L. LOVÁSZ, A. SIMMONS, AND E. G. STRAUS, Dissection graphs of planar point sets, in "A Survey of Combinatorial Theory" (J. N. Srivastava *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 139–149, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1973.
- [GP1] J. E. GOODMAN AND R. POLLACK, On the combinatorial classification of non-degenerate configurations in the plane, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **29** (1980), 220–235.
- [GP2] J. E. GOODMAN AND R. POLLACK, On the number of k -subsets of a set of n points in the plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **36** (1984), 101–104.
- [Lo] L. LOVÁSZ, On the number of halving lines, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Eötvös, Sect. Math.* **14** (1971), 107–108.
- [LS] L. LASCoux AND M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, Structure de Hopf de l'anneau de cohomologie et de l'anneau de Grothendieck d'une variété de drapeaux, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* **295**, 629–633.
- [St] R. STANLEY, On the number of reduced decompositions of elements of Coxeter groups, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **5** (1984), 359–372.